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The Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction

“To put an end to the suffering and casualties caused by anti-personnel mines”
Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention

- Universalization
- Stockpile Destruction
- Mine Clearance
- Victim Assistance
Universalization

Progress

- 162 States Parties
- Adherence by States not parties
- New use is rare
- No recent licit transfers

Challenges

- 35 States not parties
- Millions of mines in stocks
- Use of anti-personnel mines in current conflicts
Universalization

*Signatory to the Convention

isu@apminebanconvention.org     I     www.apminebanconvention.org
## Article 4 – Stockpile Destruction

### Progress

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159 States Parties without stockpiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 States Parties in the process of destroying stocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 million mines destroyed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Challenges

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative &amp; logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missed deadlines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical difficulties: PFM-1 mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Article 5 – Mine Clearance

### Progress
- 30 States Parties completed implementation
- Vast areas have been released for safe use
- Improved approaches
- Decline in the number of mine victims

### Challenges
- 31 States Parties with ongoing obligations
- Clarity on remaining contamination
- Resources
- New contamination
The AP Mine Ban Convention – Mine Clearance

- Afghanistan
- Albania
- Algeria
- Angola
- Argentina
- Bhutan
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Bulgaria
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Chad
- Chile
- Colombia
- Congo, Republic of
- Costa Rica
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- DRC
- Denmark
- Djibouti
- Ecuador
- Eritrea
- Ethiopia
- France
- Gambia
- Germany
- Guinea-Bissau
- Greece
- Guatemala
- Honduras
- Hungary
- Iraq
- Jordan
- FYR Macedonia
- Malawi
- Mauritania
- Mozambique
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Oman
- Peru
- Rwanda
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Somalia
- South Sudan
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Swaziland
- Tajikistan
- Thailand
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Ukraine
- United Kingdom
- Uganda
- Venezuela
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe
## Article 6 – Victim Assistance

### Progress
- Victim assistance is defined and well understood
- A model for other international instruments
- Contributions to the wider community

### Challenges
- 29 States Parties with high number of landmine victims
- Sustainability
- Measurability
- Underdevelopment
The AP Mine Ban Convention – Victim Assistance

- Afghanistan
- Albania
- Angola
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Chad
- Colombia
- Croatia
- DRC
- EL Salvador
- Eritrea
- Ethiopia
- Guinea-Bissau
- Iraq

- Jordan
- Mozambique
- Nicaragua
- Peru
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Somalia
- South Sudan
- Sudan
- Tajikistan
- Thailand
- Uganda
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understandings</th>
<th>AP Mine Ban Convention</th>
<th>CCW Protocol V</th>
<th>Convention on Cluster Munitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Victim” includes...</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Individuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Victim assistance” includes...</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ medical care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ psychological support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ social inclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ economic inclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ laws and policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepts a non-discriminatory approach</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National responsibility recognized</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rights context understood</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender dimension incorporated</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development context understood</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes a responsibility to assist</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The AP Mine Ban Convention – Victim Assistance

Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention

- Chad
- Eritrea
- South Sudan
- Somalia
- Tajikistan

Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

- Afghanistan
- Albania
- Angola
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Colombia
- Croatia
- DRC
- El Salvador
- Ethiopia
- Guinea Bissau
- Iraq
- Jordan
- Mozambique
- Nicaragua
- Peru
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Sudan
- Thailand
- Uganda
- Yemen
- Zimbabwe

isu@apminebanconvention.org | www.apminebanconvention.org
AP Mine Ban Convention

- Cooperation and Assistance
- Compliance
- Transparency
- Implementation Support
AP Mine Ban Convention - The ISU
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